

# Estimation of health impacts and externality costs with the robust uniform world model in the Muara Karang generation units

### Della Kumalaningrum\* and Rinaldy Dalimi

Deparment of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

\*Corresponding Author: <u>dellakumala98@gmail.com</u> Received 30<sup>th</sup> April 2023; 1<sup>st</sup> Revised 11<sup>th</sup> May 2023; 2<sup>nd</sup> Revised 21<sup>st</sup> May 2023; Accepted 20<sup>th</sup> May 2023

Cite this <u>https://doi.org/10.24036/jptk.v6i2.33423</u>

Abstract: To encourage national economic growth, efforts are made to maintain electricity availability in Indonesia. Until now, the need for electrical energy in Indonesia is still supplied by fossil fuel power plants, especially Steam Power Plants and Gas Steam Power Plants. One of the negative impacts arising from electricity generation activities is air pollution. Air pollution is produced in the form of waste gases such as  $PM_{10}$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$ , and these gases causes global warming and impact of human health. In this study, the magnitude of the negative impact calculated based on the impact on public health, which will then be limited to economic value (cost of externalities). Cost of externalities are conditions when the effect of the production of goods or services imposes costs or benefits on other parties, and these costs are not reflected in the price charged for the goods or services produced. The estimation of public health impacts and externality costs calculated in this study comes from power plants operating at the Muara Karang Generation Unit using Robust Uniform World Model (RUWM). The research results show that the amount of health and externality costs obtained for each power plant are different because each power plant has different operating conditions. In PLTGU Block 1, the resulting externality cost was 18,51 cents USD/kWh, PLTGU Block 2 was 3,05 cents USD/kWh, and PLTGU Block 3 was 1,75 cents USD/kWh. The two Unit of PLTU Muara Karang generate different externality costs, namely 1,52 cents USD/kWh for PLTU Unit 4 and PLTU Unit 5 of 1,10 cents USD/kWh.

Keywords: Energy; Health impacts; Externality costs; Robust uniform world model; Air pollution

#### 1. Introduction

The increase energy consumption in Indonesia has increased every year and occurs in all sectors, including the industrial, transportation, household, commercial, and other sectors. The increase in energy shows that energy plays an essential role in national economic growth. In terms of encouraging economic growth, the government is trying to maintain the availability of electricity in Indonesia (Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources of the Republic of Indonesia, 2022). The availability of electricity in Indonesia, seen from the total installed capacity, is still dominated by fossil fuel-fired power plant such as coal, natural gas, and oil because it is supported by energy reserves which are still relatively abundant, and the price is low when compared to renewable energy technologies.

Fossil fuel power plants are closely related to environmental problems. Air pollution is one of the negative impacts of exhaust emissions from burning power plants. The amount of emissions from power plant is directly proportional to fuel consumption, resulting in waste gases, including  $CO_2$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_x$ , and PM, which can cause global warming and impact human health. Air pollution, from construction power plants, fuel transportation, and production processes to transmission and distribution processes, is included in externalities (Sugiyono, n.d.). Externalities or costs of externalities are benefits or costs generated as an unwanted by-product of an economic activity not obtained by the parties involved, and no compensation is issued (Owen, 2004). The cost of the power plant's externality is not considered in calculating the price of electricity, and those who bear the cost of the externality are the people affected by the power plant activity.

Calculating the cost of externalities aims to empirically measure the impact of damage to the economic value arising from electricity production activites. In general, what can be done to measure the relationship between the emissions generated from power plants and the damage they cause (Szpunar & Gillette, 1992), among other things; first, the relationship between the number of emissions from power plants, precisely, and the amount of concentration of environmental pollution is produced. Second, is the



relationship between environmental pollution concentration and health or the surrounding environment. Third, the relationship between physical and biological impact on economic valuation.

Research related to the calculation of externality costs has also been carried out in several power plants in Indonesia, although this still needs to be improved. Therefore, in this study, externality cost calculations were carried out based on estimates of public health impacts at one of the power plants located in the center of Jakarta, namely the Muara Karang Generation Unit, which operates a Gas Steam Power Plant (PLTGU) and a Steam Power Plant (PLTU) with a total installed capacity of 1979 MW. Estimating public health impacts (cases/year) was carried out using the Robust Uniform World Model, a type of modeling in the SIMPACTS software, the AIRPACTS module. RUWM measures health impacts by considering local areas, regional areas, population density, power plant stack, to exposure to pollutans produced by power plants (<u>Büke & Köne, 2011</u>).

#### 2. Methods

The research method used in this study is a descriptive research method based on a case study at the Muara Karang Generation Unit. The calculation of externality costs in this study was carried out using Bottom-Up approach, which is an approach that estimates externality costs based on atmospheric dispersion and requires some data, including power plant operating data, meteorological data, and population data (receptor). The calculation process uses the Robust Uniform World Model (RUWM) modeling because this modeling can provide more accurate calculation results and can be done manually.



Figure 1. Flowchart of estimating public health impacts and costs of externalities

#### Determining the ERF slope function and types of health impact

The value of the ERF Slope function (number of cases per year) on human health is calculated using equation (1) (<u>Büke & Köne, 2011</u>). IRR is the Increased Risk Ration, Incidence is incident rate in annual cases per receptor, and  $f_{pop}$  is the fraction of the population. The magnitude of the value of the equation is obtained from the AIRPACTS module or based on the availability of data at the research location.

$$ERF Slope = IRR x Incidence x f_{pop}$$
(1)

Due to data limitations in Indonesia and this study, it was also calculated manually; the ERF Slope function



used was obtained from the results of studies on the European Unions's ExternE project. The ERF Slope function for each type of impact and type pollutant has a different value, and the receptors are divided based on population size, occupation, age, to community-borne diseases. The function of the ERF Slope summarizes several health impacts, including mortality (chronic, acute, infant) and morbidity (chronic bronchitis, bronchodilator usage, lower respiratory symptoms, restricted activity days, hospital admissions) and some health impacts in full in Table 1 (Jorli et al., 2017).

#### Calculating public health impacts

The public health impacts in this study are divided into local health impacts and regional health impacts, the difference in the distance from the emission source. Local health impacts are within 0-50 km of emission sources (local areas), while regional impacts are 500-1000 km (regional areas). Local health impacts only calculated impacts due to primary pollutans, while regional impacts include impacts due to primary and secondary pollutans. The magnitude of the impact is calculated using equation (2) (Casas-Ledon et al., 2014). Where D is the magnitude of the impact (cases/year),  $\rho$  is the regional population density (person/km<sup>2</sup>), ERF is Exposure Response Function (case/year.person. $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), Q is the annual emission rate (ton/year), R is the impact radius (km), and k is the depletion velocity (cm/s).

$$D = \frac{\rho_{Regional}ERFQR}{k} \tag{2}$$

In RUWM modeling, it has different R values for primary pollutans ( $PM_{10}$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$ ) and secondary pollutans (Sulfate, Nitrate) (<u>Mayasari, 2013</u>). The R value of primary pollutants is calculated by considering local population density, stack parameters, and atmospheric conditions as in equation (3), while the R value for secondary pollutants is around 1(<u>Liun, 2012</u>).

$$R = \left(\frac{\rho_{Local}}{\rho_{Regional}}\right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} k}{\sqrt{\pi} u}\right) \int_0^{Ro} \frac{1}{\sigma_z} \exp\left(-\frac{He^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right) dr + \exp\left(-\frac{k Ro}{u H_{mix}}\right)$$
(3)

From the equation for the R value above, if it is substituted into equation (2), two equations can be obtained that can be used to calculate the size of the local health impact and regional health impact. (Mayasari, 2013). Local health impacts for each primary pollutant.

$$D_{\text{Lokal}} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \rho_{\text{Lokal}} \text{ERF Q}}{\sqrt{\pi} u} \times \int_0^{\text{Ro}} \frac{1}{\sigma_z} \exp\left(-\frac{H_e^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right) dr$$
(4)

Regional health impact for each primary pollutant and secondary pollutant (R = 1)

$$D_{\text{Regional}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{Regional}} \text{ ERF Q}}{k} \exp\left(-\frac{k \text{ Ro}}{u \text{ H}_{\text{mix}}}\right)$$
(5)

Where  $\rho$  is the local and regional population density (person/km<sup>2</sup>), u is the average wind speed (m/s), R<sub>o</sub> is the local domain radius (50 km), H<sub>e</sub> is the effective stack height, H<sub>mix</sub> is the emission mix layer height (1000 km) and  $\sigma_z$  is the vertical dispersion coefficient.

Because local and regional health impacts will be calculated using the RUWM modeling, it requires population density and meteorological data. The local population density is calculated from 9 areas including DKI Jakarta, Bogor Regency, Depok, Tangerang Regency, Tangerang City, South Tangerang City, Serang Regency, Bekasi City, and Bekasi Regency is 5824,31 person/km<sup>2</sup>. Meanwhile, the regional population density which covers several areas outside Java with a total of 16 areas is 355,37 person/km<sup>2</sup>, where the data is obtained from the Central Bureau of Statistics for 2021. Meteorological data in this study are obtained from Nasa for every hour in one year or 8760 hours consisting of wind speed (m/s), wind direction (°), ambient temperature (K), anemometer height, and mixing layer height. These data are the processed again to determine the percentage of the distribution for each type of Pasquill stability class.

Tab	le	1.	Muara	karang	generation	unit pol	llutant o	lata
				<u> </u>				

Pollutant	Emission Coefficint (g/kWh)	Emission Rate (ton/year)
	PLTGU Block 1	
PM <sub>10</sub>	0,140595353	323,14
$SO_2$	0,220813107	507,51

PLTGU Block 2								
PM <sub>10</sub> 0,102404562 231,19								
SO <sub>2</sub> 0,166379176 375,62								
NO <sub>2</sub> 1,510452897 3410,02								
PLTGU Block 3	PLTGU Block 3							
PM <sub>10</sub> 0,461116625 972,74								
SO <sub>2</sub> 0,033083177 69,79								
NO <sub>2</sub> 0,044621284 94,13								
PLTU Unit 4								
PM <sub>10</sub> 1,060903525 381,83								
SO <sub>2</sub> 0,099580395 35,84								
NO <sub>2</sub> 0,096440723 34,71								
PLTU Unit 5								
PM <sub>10</sub> 0,657879715 282,36								
SO <sub>2</sub> 0,037605109 16,14								
NO <sub>2</sub> 0,037605109 16,14								

Table 2. Depletion velocity

Pollutant	Depletion velocity (cm/s)
$PM_{10}$	1,2
$SO_2$	0,75
$NO_2$	1,5
Sulfate	1,5
Nitrate	1,4

# Table 3. Muara Karang generation unit meteorological data

Parameters	Units	Values
Pasquill Distribution Class A (%)	%	8
Pasquill Distribution Class B (%)	%	15
Pasquill Distribution Class C (%)	%	11
Pasquill Distribution Class D (%)	%	4
Pasquill Distribution Class E (%)	%	22
Pasquill Distribution Class F (%)	%	40
Anemometer Height	m	10
Ambient Temperature	K	300,3
Wind Speed (u)	m/s	3,01
Mixing Layer Height (Hmix)	km	1000

Calculating the cost of public health impacts

The public health impact cost is obtained by multiplying the health impact by the unit cost. Unit cost values in Indonesia are currently not available. Therefore the unit cost values used are also taken from ExternE research result in USD<sub>2000</sub>. (ZyŚk et al., 2021).

## Table 4. Health impact, ERF Slope, and unit cost

Health Impact & Receptor	ERF Slope (case/year.person.µg/m³)	Unit Cost (USD <sub>2000</sub> )
PM <sub>10</sub>		
Chronic Mortality (entire population)	$4 \times 10^{-4}$	45872
Acute Mortality (entire population)	$2,88 \times 10^{-6}$	68807
Infant Mortality (children under 12 months)	$1,8 \times 10^{-7}$	917431
Chronic Bronchitis (adults aged 27+)	$1,81944  imes 10^{-5}$	183486
Lower Respiratory Symptoms		35

 $3,0756 \times 10^{-2}$ 

(adults with chronic respiratory symptoms)



	\
	Bronchodilato
	(asthmatic ad
	(asthmatic chi
	Cardiac Hosp
	Respiratory F
	Destriated A
	(working adu
	(working add
	(Holl-working
	Acute Mortal
	Respiratory H
$\geq$	Respiratory
<u>4</u> <u>0.</u>	Chronic Mort
SS/	Chronic Mort
<u>nse</u>	Acute Mortal
IC.	Infant Mortali
	Chronic Bron
t d	Lower Respir
Su Su	(adults with c
	(children ageo
<u>ada</u>	Bronchodilate
<u>ii D</u>	(asthmatic ad
ti	(asthmatic chi
$\frac{N_{es}}{1}$	Cardiac Hosp
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}$	Respiratory H
Aut s::/	Restricted Ac
e <i>P</i>	(working adu
H H H	(non-working
$\bigcirc \frac{y}{1}$	
d b ler	Chronic Mort
she Ind	Acute Mortal
blis e u	Infant Mortali
Pu	Chronic Bron
ar	Lower Respir
ess	(adults with c
aco	(children ageo
ů-ů	Bronchodilato
be	(asthmatic adu
P C	(asthmatic chi
is a	Cardiac Hosp
ii sii	Respiratory H
LT	Restricted Ac

(children aged 5-14)	$2,057 \times 10^{-2}$	
Bronchodilator Usage		1
(asthmatic adults aged 20+)	$3,15 \times 10^{-3}$	
(asthmatic children 5-14)	$3.663 \times 10^{-4}$	
Cardiac Hospital Admissions (entire population)	$4.2 \times 10^{-6}$	1848
Respiratory Hospital Admissions (entire population)	$6.82 \times 10^{-6}$	1848
Restricted Activity Days		119
(working adults aged 15-64)	$2.36379 \times 10^{-2}$	
(non-working adults aged 15-64)	$2.67786 \times 10^{-3}$	42
SO <sub>2</sub>	2,000 2000 20	
Acute Mortality (entire population)	$7.85 \times 10^{-6}$	68807
Respiratory Hospital Admissions (entire population)	$2.04 \times 10^{-6}$	1848
NO <sub>2</sub>	2,017.10	
Chronic Mortality (adults 15+)	$3.91 \times 10^{-4}$	45872
Sulfate	5,51 × 10	
Chronic Mortality (entire population)	$4 \times 10^{-4}$	45872
Acute Mortality (entire population)	$2.99 \times 10^{-6}$	68807
Infant Mortality (children under 12 months)	$1.9 \times 10^{-7}$	917431
Chronic Bronchitis (adults aged 27+)	$1,0 \times 10$ 1 91044 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	183486
Lower Despiratory Sumptoms	1,01944 × 10	35
(adults with chronic respiratory symptoms)	$2.07E6 \times 10^{-2}$	
(children aged 5-14)	$3,0/50 \times 10^{-2}$	
	2,057 × 10 -	1
Bronchodilator Usage	$2.15 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1
(asthmatic adults aged 20+)	3,15 × 10 °	
(astimate children aged 5-14)	3,663 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1040
Cardiac Hospital Admissions (entire population)	$4,2 \times 10^{-6}$	1848
Respiratory Hospital Admissions (entire population)	$6,82 \times 10^{-6}$	1848
Restricted Activity Days		119
(working adults aged 15-64)	$2,36379 \times 10^{-2}$	12
(non-working adults aged 15-64)	$2,67786 \times 10^{-3}$	42
Nitrate	2 1 2 1	45050
Chronic Mortality (entire population)	$2 \times 10^{-4}$	45872
Acute Mortality (entire population)	$1,44 \times 10^{-6}$	68807
Infant Mortality (children under 12 months)	$9 \times 10^{-8}$	917431
Chronic Bronchitis (adults aged 27+)	$9,0972 \times 10^{-6}$	183486
Lower Respiratory Symptoms		35
(adults with chronic respiratory symptoms)	$1,51217 \times 10^{-2}$	
(children aged 5-14)	$1,0285 \times 10^{-2}$	
Bronchodilator Usage		1
(asthmatic adults aged 20+)	$1,575 \times 10^{-3}$	
(asthmatic children aged 5-14)	$1,7908 \times 10^{-4}$	
Cardiac Hospital Admissions (entire population)	$2,1 \times 10^{-6}$	1848
Respiratory Hospital Admissions (entire population)	$3,534 \times 10^{-6}$	1848
Restricted Activity Days		119
(working adults aged 15-64)	$1,14114 \times 10^{-2}$	
(non-working adults aged 15-64)	$1,29276 \times 10^{-3}$	42

Calculating the cost of public health impacts in indonesia's unit cost

Before determining the cost of health impacts in Indonesia unit cost, a change in health impacts cost in European unit costs for 2021 is first carried out using equation (6) (Kusumawati et al., 2010). After obtaining the cost of public health impacts in European unit costs in 2021 is converted into Indonesia unit costs using equation (7) (Rokhmawati et al., 2023).

Health Impact Costs 
$$(USD_{2021})$$
 = Health Impacts Costs  $(USD_{2000}) \times \frac{USD \text{ Deflator}_{2021}}{USD \text{ Deflator}_{2000}}$  (6)

Unit Cost in Indonesia = Unit Cost in Uni Eropa × 
$$\left(\frac{PPPGNI_{Indonesia}}{PPPGNI_{Uni Eropa}}\right)^{\prime}$$
 (7)



Where, the European Union deflator in 2021 and 2000 is 110,048 and 100. PPP (Purchasing Power Parity) GNI (Gross National Income) Indonesia's in 2021 is 12680 USD, European Union PPPGNI is 48791 USD, and  $\gamma$  is *Income Elasticity Coefficient* (0,3-1). The value of the deflator and PPPGNI is obtained from World Bank data.

#### Calculating the externality cost of the muara karang generation unit

The cost of externalities in this study is defined as the cost of public health impacts resulting from electricity production per year. To determine the externality cost per kWh is necessary to know the total electricity production for each power plant operating at the Muara Karang Generation Unit in one year for 2021. The equation for finding the externality cost of a power plant as follows.

Externality Costs = 
$$\frac{\text{Total Cost of Health Impact (USD}_{2021})}{\text{Electricity Production}_{2021}}$$
(8)

#### 3. Results and discussion

In this study, the public health and externality costs were calculate based on the power plants operating in the Muara Karang Generation Unit, namely PLTGU, with and istalled capacity of each Block 1,2,3, is 404 MW, 680 MW, 495 MW, and also two unist of PLTU 4 and PLTU 5 with a total installed capacity of 400 MW. By knowing the installed capacity of each power plant and its operating time, the electricity production is 3539040 MWh/year for PLTGU Block 1, Block 2 is 5956800 MWh/year, and PLTGU Block 3 is 4336200 MWh/year. Electricity production for PLTU Unit 4 and Unit 5 is 1752000 MWh/year, respectively. Based on the data above, the result of calculating the public health impact for each power plant in the Muara Karang Generation Unit show in table 5.



Figure 2. Muara Karang Generation Unit Location

Table 5. Results of the calculation of the public health impact of the Muara Karang generation unit

		Public Hea	lth Impact (cas	es/year)				
Health Impact & Receptor	PLTGU	PLTGU	PLTGU	PITH Unit 4	PLTU			
	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	1 LTu unit 4	Unit 5			
		$\mathbf{PM}_{10}$						
Chronic Mortality (entire population)	1221,726721	823,9555809	3683,634536	1295,505525	3,0151904			
Acute Mortality (entire population)	8,796432393	5,932480182	26,52216866	9,327639783	97709371			
Infant Mortality (children under 12 months)	0,549777025	0,370780011	1,657635541	0,582977486	-31106836			
Chronic Bronchitis (adults aged 27+)	55,57146164	37,47844355	167,5538005	58,92736433	,57627895			
Lower Respiratory Symptoms (adults with chronic respiratory	93938,5676	63353,94461	283234,6595	99611,41985	561,78799			
symptoms) (children aged 5-14)	62827,29664	42371,91575	189430,906	66621,37164	265,93117			
Bronchodilator Usage	9621,09793	6488,650199	29008,62197	10202,10601	14,369624			



(asthmatic adults aged 20+)	1118,796245	754,5373232	3373,288327	1186,359185	7,3024106
Cardiac Hospital Admissions (entire					
population)	12,82813057	8,651533599	38,67816263	13,60280802	0,0591595
Respiratory Hospital Admissions	20.8304406	14 04844265	62 80596885	22 08836921	16 334159
(entire population)	20,830++06	1+,0+0++205	62,80396883	22,08836921	10,33+139
Restricted Activity Days	72197,63516	48691,44906	217683,462	76557,57515	513,66817
(working adults aged 15-64)					
(non-working adults aged 15-0+)	8179,032794	5516,094229	24660,64395	8672,956066	13,576394
		SO <sub>2</sub>			
Acute Mortality (entire population)	39,90099851	27,93335487	5,495277386	2,544939225	46074751
Respiratory Hospital Admissions	10 36917668	7 259113877	1 428072085	0.661360002	97833439
(entire population)	10,000 11000	.,	1,120072000	0,001000002	
Chronic Mortality (adults 15+)	44960 64486	NO <sub>2</sub>	341 5248752	112 5683032	34381638
Chilome Mortanty (adults 13+)	77200,07780	Sulfate	571,5276752	112,3083932	,57501050
Chronic Mortality (entire		Sunate			
population)	152,5061971	112,8733971	20,97181828	10,7698806	50052258
Acute Mortality (entire population)	1,098044619	0,812688459	0,150997092	0,07754314	)34920376
Infant Mortality (children under 12	0,068627789	0,050793029	0,009437318	0,004846446	02182524
months)	6 036806882	E 1341E034	0.953924126	0 489878789	20609477
Lower Respiratory Symptoms	0,930890882	3,13+1393+	0,93392+120	0,+89878789	20009477
(adults with chronic respiratory	11726,2015	8678,835502	1612,523108	828,0961195	2,9205181
symptoms)	7842.631186	5804.514445	1078.475755	553.84111	9.4139374
(children aged 5-14)	,	,	,	,	,
Bronchodilator Usage	1200,986302	888,8780021	165,153069	84,81280974	,19416153
(asthinatic children 5-14)	139,65755	103,3638134	19,20494259	9,862518161	41435355
Cardiac Hospital Admissions (entire		1 105150 (60		0.440000	
population)	1,60131507	1,185170669	0,220204092	0,113083746	150925549
Respiratory Hospital Admissions	2.600230661	1.92449142	0.357569502	0.183626464	82693391
(entire population)	,	,	-,	-,	
(working adults aged 15-64)	9012,315592	6670,225183	1239,324358	636,4434017	5,6126257
(non-working adults aged 15-64)	1020,975612	755,6478878	140,3989833	72,10058117	,46940235
		Nitrate			
Chronic Mortality (entire	1998 135244	548 9503186	15 15319367	5 587669738	98242281
population)	14.20655256	2.052442204	0.100102004	0,040221222	10505244
Acute Mortality (entire population)	14,38657376	3,952442294	0,109102994	0,040231222	118707344
mant mortanty (children under 12 months)	0,89916086	0,247027643	0,006818937	0,002514451	01169209
Chronic Bronchitis (adults aged 27+)	90,88717971	24,96955419	0,689258167	0,254160746	18183648
Lower Respiratory Symptoms	151076-0086	41505 31017	1145 710244	422 4753274	5 4492015
(adults with chronic respiratory	101070,0000			,	5,112=010
symptoms)	102754,1049	28229,77014	779,2529847	287,3459163	3,6146093
(children aged 5-14) Bronchodilator Usage	15525 21505	4222 082750	110 2214002	44.00200010	46115506
(asthmatic adults aged 20+)	15735,31505	+322,983759	119,3314002	++,00289919	,46115796
(asthmatic children 5-14)	1789,130298	491,5301153	13,56816962	5,003199483	26466138
Cardiac Hospital Admissions (entire	20,98042006	5,763978346	0,159108534	0,058670532	27281544
population)	.,	.,	.,	.,	
(entire population)	35,30704976	9,69995213	0,267756932	0,098734124	45910941
Restricted Activity Days	114007 (02)	21221 45022		210 015 (522	2450000
(working adults aged 15-64)	114007,6026	51321,45833	00 <del>4</del> ,5957715	518,8156722	5,2479098
(non-working adults aged 15-64)	12915,54659	3548,30507	97,94721327	36,11757965	,79451846
Total Public Health Impact	725760	312768	759035	267684	196976



From the results of the calculation of the public health impact (cases/year) obtained, the calculate public health impact costs by multiplying the result in Table 5 with the unit cost in Table 4. An example of calculating the public health impact costs for  $PM_{10}$  pollutants at PLTGU Block 1 as follows.

# Chronic Mortality Costs = $1221,726721 \frac{\text{case}}{\text{year}} \times 45872 \text{ USD}_{2000} = 56043048,16 \text{ USD}_{2000}/\text{year}$

Table 6. Results of the calculation of public health impact costs of the Muara Karang generation

unit

Health Impact &	Health Impact Costs (USD2000/year)						
Receptor	PLTGU	PLTGU	PLTGU	PLTU Unit	PLTU		
inceptor	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	4	Unit 5		
PM <sub>10</sub>							
Chronic mortality (entire population)	56043048,16	37796490,41	168975683,5	59427429,46	43946072,81		
Acute mortality (entire population)	605256,1237	408196,1639	1824910,859	641806,9105	474610,6887		
Infant mortality (children under 12 months)	504382,4854	340165,0766	1520766,232	534841,6184	395510,7754		
Chronic bronchitis (adults aged 27+)	10196585,21	6876769,693	30743776,64	10812346,37	7995637,12		
Lower respiratory symptoms (adults with chronic	3287849,866	2217388,061	9913213,083	3486399,695	2578162,58		
respiratory symptoms) (children aged 5-14)	2198955,382	1483017,051	6630081,711	2331748,008	1724307,591		
Bronchodilator usage (asthmatic adults aged 20+)	9621,09793	6488,650199	29008,62197	10202,10601	7544,369624		
(asthmatic children 5-14)	1118,796245	754,5373232	3373,288327	1186,359185	877,3024106		
Cardiac hospital admissions (entire population)	23706,3853	15988,03409	71477,24454	25137,98922	18589,32675		
Respiratory hospital admissions (entire population)	38494,65422	25961,52202	116065,4304	40819,3063	30185,52583		
Restricted activity days (working adults aged 15-64)	8591518,584	5794282,438	25904331,98	9110351,443	6737026,513		
(non-working adults aged 15- 64)	343519,3774	231675,9576	1035747,046	364264,1548	269370,2086		
		SO <sub>2</sub>					
Acute mortality (entire population)	2745468,004	1922010,349	378113,5511	175109,6332	78857,96541		
Respiratory hospital admissions (entire population)	19162,23851	13414,84245	2639,077213	1222,193284	550,3961944		
		$NO_2$					
Chronic mortality (adults 15+)	2062434701	533462392,1	15666429,07	5163737,334	2401115,545		
		Sulfate					
Chronic mortality (entire population)	6995764,274	5177728,471	962019,2482	494035,963	222481,5972		
Acute mortality (entire population)	75553,15611	55918,6548	10389,65688	5335,510857	2402,766329		
Infant mortality (children under 12 months)	62961,26081	46599,09911	8658,088298	4446,280049	2002,314732		
Chronic Bronchitis (adults aged 27+)	1272823,461	942046,3607	175031,7222	89885,89949	40478,7505		
Lower respiratory symptoms (adults with chronic	410417,0523	303759,2426	56438,30877	28983,36418	13052,21813		
respiratory symptoms) (children aged 5-14)	274492,0915	203158,0056	37746,65143	19384,43885	8729,487807		
Bronchodilator usage (asthmatic adults aged 20+)	1200,986302	888,8780021	165,153069	84,81280974	38,19416153		
(asthmatic children 5-14)	139,65755	103,3638134	19,20494259	9,862518161	4,441435355		

Cardiac hospital admissions (entire population)	2959,230249	2190,195397	406,9371619	208,9787632	94,11041401
Respiratory hospita admissions (entire population)	4805,226261	3556,460145	660,7884391	339,341706	152,8173866
Restricted activity days (working adults aged 15-64)	1072465,555	793756,7967	147479,5987	75736,7648	34106,90245
(non-working adults aged 15 64)	42880,97572	31737,21129	5896,757297	3028,224409	1363,714899
		Nitrate			
Chronic mortality (entire population)	91658459,92	25181449,02	695107,3002	256317,5862	119186,5699
Acute mortality (entire population)	989896,9805	271955,6969	7507,049739	2768,1897	1287,196248
Infant mortality (childrer under 12 months)	824918,0468	226630,8179	6255,904332	2306,835646	1072,668606
Chronic Bronchitis (adults aged 27+)	3 16676525,06	4581563,621	126469,2241	46634,93859	21685,04491
Lower respiratory symptoms (adults with chronic	5287660,301	1452685,856	40099,85854	14786,63646	6875,722052
respiratory symptoms (children aged 5-14)	3596393,672	988041,9548	27273,85446	10057,10707	4676,511325
Bronchodilator usage	15735,31505	4322,983759	119,3314002	44,00289919	20,46115796
(asthmatic children 5-14)	1789,130298	491,5301153	13,56816962	5,003199483	2,326466138
Cardiac hospital admissions (entire population)	38771,81628	10651,83198	294,0325701	108,4231436	50,41629322
Respiratory hospita admissions (entire population)	l 65247,42796	17925,51154	494,8148108	182,4606617	84,84341916
Restricted activity days (working adults aged 15-64)	13566904,71	3727253,541	102886,8968	37939,065	17641,50127
(non-working adults aged 15-	542452,9568	149028,8129	4113,782957	1516,938345	705,3697751
Total cost of health imm	ac 2.290.524.606	634,768,439	265.231.165	93,220,749	67.156.615

The amount of the cost of health impacts in the European Union unit cost in 2021 and the Indonesian unit cost as follows.

# Table 7. Public health impact costs by 2021

Health Impact Costs (USD <sub>2021</sub> /year)								
PLTGU Block 1	PLTGU Block 2	PLTGU Block 3	PLTU Unit 4	PLTU Unit 5				
2.520.676.518	698.549.972	291.881.592	102.587.570	73.904.511				

Table 8. Public health impact costs in indonesia unit cost

Health Impact Costs (USD/year)								
PLTGU Block 1	PLTGU Block 2	PLTGU Block 3	PLTU Unit 4	PLTU Unit 5				
655.083.484	181.541.957	75.855.354	26.660.868	19.206.600				

The externality costs for each power plant at the Muara Karang Generation Unit in 2021 are as follows.

#### Table 9. Muara karang generation unit externality costs

Externality	Muara Karang Generation Unit							
costs	PLTGU block 1	PLTGU block 2	PLTGU block 3	PLTU unit 4	PLTU unit 5			
USD/kWh	0,1851	0,0305	0,0175	0,0152	0,0110			
cent USD/kWh	18,51	3,05	1,75	1,52	1,10			

The results of the research that has been carried out, it is obtained the results of significant estimates of public health impacts and externality costs that are different for each type of power plant operating in the Muara Karang Generation Unit. At PLTGU Block 1, the number of health cases per year is 725760 cases/year with an externality cost of 0,1851 USD/kWh or 18,51 cents USD/kWh. The externality costs



obtained are huge compared to other power plants operating in the exact location. In PLTGU Block 2, 312768 cases/year were obtained for health impacts, and the externality costs were 0,0305 USD/kWh or 3,05 cents USD/kWh. Furthermore, for Block 3 PLTGU, which is still classified as a new power plant among the power plants operating in the Muara Karang Generation Unit, the health impacts that occur are 759035 cases/year, and the externality costs are only 0,0175 USD/kWh or 1,75 cents USD/kWh. The two Muara Karang Steam Power Plant units generate smaller externality costs than PLTGU, namely 1,52 cents USD/kWh for PLTU Unit 4 and 1,10 cents USD/kWh for PLTU Unit 5. The difference in the estimated value of the health impact and the cost of resulting externality strengthens the opinion of previous research that applying different calculation methods will produce different results, and the assumptions used can also affect the results of the accuracy of the calculation. (Rokhmawati et al., 2023).

#### 4. Conclusion

Based on the data analysis and calculation results in this study, it can be concluded that the performance of the power plant, especially when viewed from the emission rate produced for each pollutant, dramatically influences the magnitude of the estimated results of public health impacts and externality costs. The higher the emission rate, the greater the externality costs, and vice versa. Not only based on plant characteristics, receptor conditions, and meteorological conditions, the use of assumptions such as the type of health impact and the type of pollutant being analyzed also influences the results of calculating public health impacts and externality costs. It is hoped that future research will determine externality cost estimates for other power plants in Indonesia, both fossil-fuel power plants and renewable energy, and also develop other calculation methods that can provide better results.

#### References

- Büke, T., & Köne, A. Ç. (2011). Estimation of The Health Benefits of Controlling Air Pollution from the Yataĝan Coal-Fired Power Plant. Environmental Science and Policy, 14(8), 1113–1120. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2011.05.014</u>
- Casas-Ledon, Y., Arteaga-Perez, L. E., Dewulf, J., Morales, M. C., Rosa, E., Peralta-Suáreza, L. M., & Van Langenhove, H. (2014). Health External Costs Associated to the Integration of Solid Oxide Fuel Cell in a Sugar-Ethanol Factory. *Applied Energy*, 113, 1283–1292. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.08.090</u>
- Jorli, M., Van Passel, S., Sadeghi, H., Nasseri, A., & Agheli, L. (2017). Estimating Human Health Impacts and Costs Due to Iranian Fossil Fuel Power Plant Emissions through the Impact Pathway Approach. *Energies*, 10(12), 1–29. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/en10122136</u>
- Kusumawati, W., Sugiyono, A., & Bongaerts, J. C. (2010). Using the QUERI Model-AirPacts Program to Assess the External Costs of Three Power Plants in Indonesia with Three Different Energy Sources. *The IMRE Journal*, 4(1), 1–19. <u>http://wordpress.hrz.tu-freiberg.de/wordpress-mu/journal/</u>
- Liun, E. (2012). Perhitungan Biaya Eksternal Pembangkit Listrik Dengan Model Simpacts. 307–321.
- Mayasari, F. (2013). Analisis Perhitungan Eksternalitas Pada PLTU Muara Karang Dengan Penggunaan Flue Gas Desulphurization. *Jurnal Ristek*, 2(1), 38–42. <u>www.jurnal-ristek.org</u>
- Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources of the Republic of Indonesia. (2022). Capaian Kinerja KESDM 2021 Capaian kinerja sektor ESDM tahun 2021.
- Owen, A. (2004). Environmental Externalities, Market Distortions and the Economics of Renewable Energy Technologies. *The Energy Journal*, 25(3), 127–156. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/41323045</u>
- Rokhmawati, A., Sugiyono, A., Efni, Y., & Wasnury, R. (2023). Quantifying social costs of coal-fired power plant generation. *Geography and Sustainability*, 4(1), 39–48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geosus.2022.12.004</u>
- Sugiyono, A. (n.d.). Biaya Eksternal dari Pembangkit Listrik Batubara.
- Szpunar, C. B., & Gillette, J. L. (1992). Environmental Externalities: Applying the Concept to Asian Coal-Based Power Generation.
- Zyśk, J., Wyrwa, A., Pluta, M., Olkuski, T., Suwała, W., & Raczyński, M. (2021). The Health Impact and External Cost of Electricity Production. *Energies*, 14(24), 1–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/en14248263</u>